Eight Significant Verses of the Bhagavad Gītā Swami Dayananda Saraswati

This is the first part of the serial article.

Chapter 3, Verse 3

श्रीभगवानुवाच |

लोकेऽस्मिन्द्विविधा निष्ठा पुरा प्रोक्ता मयानघ |

ज्ञानयोगेन साङ्ख्यानां कर्मयोगेन योगिनाम् ॥ 3-3॥

śrībhagavānuvāca

loke'smin dvividhā niṣṭhā purā proktā mayānagha

jñānayogena sāṅkhyaṃ karmayogena yoginām

Verse 3-3

श्रीभगवान $\dot{s}r\bar{\imath}bhagav\bar{a}n$ - the Lord; उवाच $uv\bar{a}ca$ - said; अनघ anagha - Oh! Sinless One; अस्मिन् asmin - in this; लोके loke - world, द्विविधा $dvividh\bar{a}$ - two-fold; निष्ठा $nisth\bar{a}$ - committed life-styles; पुरा $pur\bar{a}$ - in the beginning; मया $may\bar{a}$ - by me; प्रोक्ता $prokt\bar{a}$ - was told; ज्ञान-योगेन $jn\bar{a}na$ -yogena - in the form of the pursuit of knowledge; साङ्ख्यानाम् $s\bar{a}nkhyam$ - for the renunciates, कर्म-योगेन karmayogena - in the form of the pursuit of action; योगिनाम् $yogin\bar{a}m$ - for those who pursue activity

Oh! Sinless One, the two fold committed lifestyle in this world, was told by Me in the beginning - the pursuit of knowledge for the renunciates and the pursuit of action for those who pursue activity.

Krsna was not talking here as Mr. Krsna, who was born on a given day at a given time, but as Isvara, the Lord. Throughout the $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$, Krsna talked as the Lord, except in one or two places where he said to Arjuna, 'You are my friend.' In fact, anyone who understands the nature of Isvara can talk as an $avat\bar{a}ra$, as Isvara incarnate, just as $Vy\bar{a}sa$ had Krsna do in the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$. In the fourth chapter of the $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$, Krsna himself talked about what an $avat\bar{a}ra$ is, as we shall see later.

Here, in this verse, Krsna says that in the Veda, a two fold $nisth\bar{a}$ was expounded by him. $Nisth\bar{a}$ means a committed lifestyle. For example, a person who is committed to the practice of japa, chanting the Lord's name, is called $japa-nisth\bar{a}$, and when performing austerities, tapas, is the emphasis in one's life, the person is called $taponisth\bar{a}$. One for whom the pursuit of Brahman is the $nisth\bar{a}$ is called $brahma-nisth\bar{a}$ and $jn\bar{a}na-nisth\bar{a}$ - one whose commitment is to knowledge.

In this verse, *Kṛṣṇa* says that one of the *niṣṭhās* or lifestyles he revealed to the world in the beginning is for the *sāṅkhya*, the *sannyāsīs*, and is in the form of *jñāna-yoga*, meaning that knowledge is the means to accomplish his end. Knowledge is the means for the *jñāna-yogi* who is a *sannyāsī* because he has no *karma* to do, other than the pursuit of knowledge, in order to gain *mokṣa*. The other *niṣṭhā*, *karma-yoga*, he revealed to the world in the beginning is for everyone else- *karma yogis*.

Kṛṣṇa then revealed the two-fold niṣṭhā to Arjuna. The word sāṅkhya means knowledge and is also used in the Gītā by Lord Kṛṣṇa to mean sannyāsa, the life of renunciation. Those who are committed to knowledge are called sāṅkhyas and the knowledge that is unfolded so clearly by all the Upaniṣads, the subject matter referred to as Vedānta, is called sāṅkhya. The only topic that Vedānta deals with is - 'ātmā is Brahman.' Therefore, sāṅkhya means Brahman and what is unfolded by the Vedas, by Vedānta, is called sāṅkhyaṃ Brahma. Because the knowledge of Brahman is called sāṅkhya, and those who pursue that knowledge are also called sāṅkhyas, we find in the Gītā that the world is also used as a synonym for sannyāsa, the lifestyle of renunciation in which knowledge alone is pursued.

Because *Arjuna* wanted *śreyas*, *mokṣa*, *Kṛṣṇa* told him about this two-fold *niṣṭhā*. *Mokṣa* is clearly the end in view and the *niṣṭhā* is a means to this end. The *niṣṭhā* is two-fold because there are two different groups of people. One group is the *karma-yogis* or just *yogis* and includes everyone who does not live a life of renunciation. For these people there is *karma-yoga*, whereas for the *sannyāsī* there's *jñāna-yoga*. These two styles are in accordance with the four stages of life found in the Vedic culture.

The first stage is called *brahmacarya-āśrama*, wherein the person lives a studious life with learning as the main focus. The *brahmacarya-āśrama* prepares one for the next ashram, the *gṛhastha-āśrama*, or married life. In *Chāndogyopaniṣad*, we read that, *Śve-taketu* spent twelve years in the *gurukula*, which he joined when was was twelve years old. So the first twenty four years of one's life comprise the first stage of the *brahmacarya-āśrama*.

The second stage of life, *gṛhastha-āśrama*, where being a householder and raising a family is the primary focus, prepares one for the third stage, called *vānanprastha-āśrama*. In this stage, a person remains married, but husband and wife live as friends, rather than as a married couple. The person continues to perform the various rituals enjoined by the Veda for householders, but withdraws from worldly activities and lives a contended life. The *vānanprastha-āśrama*, prepares one for the fourth and final stage of life, *sannyāsa*, a life of renunciation. *Sannyāsa* is the best retirement plan there is because you do not require money for it. You simply renounce whatever you have.

Renunciation is possible at any stage. Arjuna, who was in the gṛhastha-āśrama,

wanted to renounce. He did not want to go through the intermediary stage as a *vānan-prastha*. To remain as he was, meant that he would have to remain a *gṛhastha* for a length of time and then take permission from his wife to move on to the *vānanprastha-āśrama*, none of which would be easy. Nor was it necessary to do so for the day a person wants to get out of any of the first three *āśramas*, it can be done. When one discovers the readiness, the dispassion, in oneself, on that very day, one can take *sannyāsa*. There is a Vedic sanction for it.

The *sanyasa-āśrama* is a stage of life where one is absolved from performing all *karma*. One is freed from all duties in order to pursue knowledge. This pursuit is all that is to be done. Therefore, the person must already be a $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}n\bar{t}$ or want nothing but knowledge.

TYPES OF SANNYASA

There are two main types of sannyāsa. For a person who is already a jñānī, there is vidvat-sannyāsa, a sannyāsa taken because of knowledge. The person has knowledge and, therefore, there is nothing more for him or her to do in the world - no obligation whatsoever. If the knowledge has been gained in any of the other three āśramas- brahmacarya-āśrama, garhasthya-āśrama, or vānaprastha-āśrama - the person can take to the sannyāsa -āśrama directly, taking vidvat-sannyāsa, so that he or she is no longer obligated. Otherwise, for the person with knowledge, there will still be obligations because each of the first three āśramas implies certain duties on one's part, which cannot be left undone as long as one is in that āśrama. Thus, the person takes sannyāsa to be free of these obligations- to make it perfect, in other words.

The other main type of *sannyāsa* is called *vivdiśā-sannyāsa* and is meant for knowing. This *sannyāsa* is for those who desire to know the self, *ātmā*, as *Brahman*. The person knows exactly what is to be done. He or she has heard that this *ātmā* is *Brahman* and wants to know it. Such a person is not interested in anything else and has a certain *viveka*, discrimination, with reference to the real and the unreal. He or she also has *vairāgya*, dispassion, *mumukṣutvam*, the desire for liberation, and other qualifications in various degrees. And with these qualifications, the person takes to the life of *sannyāsa*, called *vivdiśā-sannyāsa*.

There is a third type of $sanny\bar{a}sa$, called $\bar{a}pat\ sanny\bar{a}sa$. When a person thinks he or she is going to die and does not want to die a grhastha or $a\ v\bar{a}nanprastha$, but rather as a $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$, he takes $\bar{a}pat\ sanny\bar{a}sa$. $\bar{A}pat$ means danger. Because the $sanny\bar{a}sa\ a\bar{s}rama$ is always praised in the $s\bar{a}stra$, it is natural for a person to want the results of this $\bar{a}srama$. It is as though the person has had a blank cheque all along and now wants to encash it. For one who has already lived a santaga life and has been told that death is near, there seems

to be no use in continuing in the grhastha-āśrama.

A man who is not about to die will usually want to remain a *gṛhastha* because he is fond of his wife and children. But if he knows he is going to die fairly soon, he may go for *āpat-sannyāsa*. At such a time, one does not require a *guru* but can simply declare oneself to be a *sannyāsī*. With the sun, the elements, and all the gods as witness, one can make vows, for which there is a particular *mantra*. And if one happens to survive, the vows taken can always be ratified later. This is how *Śaṅkara* became a *sannyāsī*.

HOW SANKARA BECAME A SANNYASI

Śaṅkara wanted to become a sādhu at a very young age, but his mother was not at all agreeable. As the story of his life goes, he had the help of a crocodile that had caught hold of his leg while he was bathing in the river. Śaṅkara's mother was waiting for him on the bank and he called out to tell her what was happening. It may have been a ploy or perhaps the crocodile was symbolic of saṃsāra. We do not know. In any case, when his mother began crying, Śaṅkara told her that if he took the vows of sannyāsa, the crocodile would let go of him. Because there was danger to his life involved, this was āpat-sannyāsa. Śaṅkara then took the vows and, lo and behold, the crocodile released him!

When he walked out of the river, his mother said, 'Come on. Lets go home.' 'What!' Śaṅkara replied, 'I am a sannyāsī now.' When his mother told him the vows were only for the crocodile's sake, he said, 'Not at all! I have made the vows and I am going.' In this way, Śaṅkara became a sannyāsī. Later, he went to the guru, Govinda-bhagavat-pāda, who lived on the banks of Narmadā in the middle of India, and became his disciple. It was Govindapāda who ratified Śaṅkara's vows of sannyāsa. Ratification is always possible whenever one has taken āpat-sannyāsa and survives.

Expecting some good end from $sanny\bar{a}sa-\bar{a}\acute{s}rama$, people have a value for it. This expectation is based on the belief that a $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ does not take another birth. And if there is a birth, the hope is that one will at least get a better chance in the next life. This is a belief and one has faith, $\acute{s}raddh\bar{a}$, in it. A person may be born into a family where he or she can start life as a $s\bar{a}dhu$, so that directly from the brahmacharya stage he or she will become a $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ and not a grhastha. Thus, those who have become $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}s$ this way may have been $\bar{a}pat-sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}s$ in their previous life.

THE LAST RITUAL OF A SANNYASI

Arjuna's heart was not in āpat-sannyāsa. He was not dying, but he did want to know. Therefore, he wanted to take vivdiśā sannyāsa. In sannyāsa one gives up all karmas, for which there is a special ritual, the last fire ritual that a sannyāsī performs. You may see a sannyāsī doing a puja. But you will never see a sannyāsī siting around a fire performing Vedic ritual. Such a person has been freed from all obligatory fire rituals, of all

karmas, in fact. A sannyāsī's last fire ritual is one in which all karmas are given up.

In this ritual, the *sannyāsī* bids goodbye to all the ancestors, to whom there has been an obligation - father, mother, grandfather, grandmother, great grandfather, great grandmother, then *ṛṣis* and *devas*. The person taking *sannyāsa* says that self knowledge will be pursued to the exclusion of all else, asks for the blessings of the paternal and maternal ancestors and takes a vow of *abhaya*, a vow not to harm any living being, including trees and plants. The *sannyāsī* also vows to be a non-competitor in this world and all others, thereby becoming a person who dos not compete for the same of the status politically, economically, or socially. Knowledge is the only interest for the *sannyāsī*.

Having taken these vows, the *sannyāsī* takes a few symbolic steps towards the north, the direction that stands for *mokṣa*. South stands for death. Thus, Lord Death, Lord *Yama*, is a southerner. This symbolism may be based on the polar attraction in the north. Death never attracts you, whereas freedom from death does. Moving towards the north in search of *mokṣa*, having discarded all clothing, the *sannyāsī* is called back by the *guru*, given a set of simple clothing, and asked to serve and continue studying with the *guru*. This, then, is the ritual of *sannyāsa*, be it either *vidvat-sannyāsa* or *vivdiśā-sannyāsa*. Both are mentioned by Śaṅkara in his commentary.

In Vidvat-sanny $\bar{a}sa$, one may or may no take $sanny\bar{a}sa$ formally. The main aim is that, by knowledge, one gives up all karmas. The knowledge is that 'I am a non-doer. I perform no action.' This is what is called naiskarmya, the state of actionless-ness. I perform no action at any time because $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, which is 'I', does not perform any action. Nor dos $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ cause anyone to perform action. I am not a doer in spite of all the actions I do. This knowledge is real $sanny\bar{a}sa$, described as $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ -karma- $sanny\bar{a}sa$.

THE PURPOSE OF KARMA YOGA

There is no doubt that *karma* binds, with its limited results. But, if you do *karma* for *antaḥ-karaṇa-śuddhi*, for neutralizing your *rāgadveṣa*, for gaining the grace of *Īśvara* - if you perform your prayers, rituals, and duties for the sake of these alone, the *karma* you perform becomes a means, *yoga* for *mokṣa*.

Sannyāsa is only possible if you have lived a life of karma yoga. Otherwise, you become a sannyāsī who has rāgadveṣas and you will be miserable. A karma-yogi has the means, world, a field, where his or her rāgadveṣas can be neutralized. Such a field is not there for a sannyāsī. Sannyāsa means a life of study from morning to night. No other activity is available. A sannyāsī cannot even sing much because the pursuit of music, along

with everything else, has already been given up.

A $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ is one who has to pursue knowledge for which a certain capacity to contemplate is required. If the pressure from $r\bar{a}gadve\bar{\imath}as$ is there, you cannot sit in contemplation. You will find, instead, all kinds of agitation or you will fall asleep. After a few days, the life style of a $sanny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$, traditionally indicated by the wearing of orange robes, will become a source of irritation. Naturally, then, $sanny\bar{a}sa$ is meant only for those who, to an extent at least, have taken care of their $r\bar{a}gas$ and $dve\bar{\imath}as$.

The two-fold *niṣṭhā* is meant only for *śreyas*. *Arjuna* wanted *Kṛṣṇa*, to tell him which one was better and *Kṛṣṇa* replied that one can be a *sannyāsī* or a *karma-yogi*. Both are meant for the same end- *mokṣa*, *śreyas*. One can live a life of a *karma-yoga* and gain *mokṣa* and one can live a life of *sannyāsī* and gain *mokṣa*. The only difference is the for a *sannyāsī* there is only knowledge, wheat for a *karma-yogi* there is knowledge and *karma*. This difference must be understood well because this is where there is a lot of confusion.

Arjuna was a *karma-yogi*, not a *sannyāsī*. By listening to *Kṛṣṇa*, he was pursuing knowledge. Although *gṛhasthas* pursue other activities, they can be qualified for knowledge. This pursuit of knowledge plus the performance of *karma*, with a certain understanding, made *Arjuna* a *karma-yogi*. If the pursuit of knowledge had not been there, if he had had no discrimination, if *mokṣa* had not been the end for him, he would not have been a *karma-yogi*. He would have been a simple doer, a *karmī*, a *karmaṭha*. When *mokṣa* is the end in view, then *karma* becomes *yoga* because it is done with a particular attitude in order to gain *antaḥ-karaṇa-śuddhi*, purification of the mind.

In this way, *karma yoga* is important in gaining knowledge. You can take *sannyāsa* and gain *sarva-karma-sannyāsa* or you can live a life of *karma yoga* and gain the same thing. *Sarva-karma-sannyāsa* is an end in itself for which the means is knowledge, gained in either of the two lifestyles - *sannyāsa* or *karma yoga* revealed by the Lord in the beginning itself, in the *Upaniṣads*.

Among the ten *Upaniṣads*, commonly studies, the first one is *Īśāvāsyopaniṣad*,. The first *mantra* of this *Upaniṣad*, is meant for the *sannyāsī*, the *jñāna-yogi*. It says, 'In this moving world, everything should be looked upon by you as *Parameśvara*, the Lord - *īśāvāsyamidaṃ sarvaṃ*. The Lord being everything, what is there that is yours? Or, not yours? Live a life of renunciation and pursue self-knowledge alone,' this *mantra* enjoins. Pursuit of this knowledge, giving up every other pursuit, is the life of *sannyāsa* or *jñāna-yoga*, says *Śaṅkara*, in his commentary.

The second *mantra* of the same *Upaniṣad* says, 'Even if you want to live one hundred years, live doing *karma* with the proper attitude. This is the best way for you. If *karma* is done in the proper way, it will not affect you at all.' This is *karma yoga*. This, we

see here the two fold $nisth\bar{a}$ being unfolded, $sanny\bar{a}sa$, wherein the pursuit of knowledge alone is allowed, and $karma\ yoga$, the pursuit of knowledge along with whatever karma is to be done. Throughout the $s\bar{a}stra$, these two $nisth\bar{a}s$ are always discussed in the same way.

CHOICE OF LIFE-STYLE

In the Vedic vision, śreyas is mokṣa. Mokṣa is the end, the human destiny to be gained in this life. Here, itself saṃsāra is crossed. To have taken a human birth means that you have already made it. Because you have an intellect, buddhi, viveka is possible. It is true that experience teaches, but you do not need to get knocked around for seventy five years to get discrimination. Twenty five years are good enough! Once you develop viveka, śreyas alone looms large before you; it becomes the only real end for you.

Then you become either a *karma-yogi* or a *sannyāsī*. The *niṣṭhā* was given in a two-fold way because there are two kinds of people. But there is only one means for *mokṣa*, knowledge. Depending on the kind of person you are, you can either be a *sannyāsī* and pursue knowledge to the exclusion of all else, or a *karma-yogi* and pursue knowledge along with *karma*. In both life styles, the pursuit of knowledge is common. Knowledge is *mokṣa*. Therefore, the choice is not between *jñāna* and *karma*. It is between *sannyāsa* and *karma yoga*.

When the choice is between *karma yoga* and *sannyāsa*, it is natural to look to *sannyāsa*. Given a choice, why do *karma* at all? *Sannyāsa* seems to be the better choice since performing *karma* implies so much effort, problems, and even bloodshed, in *Arjuna's* case. *Karma* can be such a nuisance. When two life-styles are available, why should I put up with a life of *karma*?

The choice is like asking which is the better way to catch hold of my nose in order to do breathing exercises. Shall I take my hand directly to my nose or shall I reach around from behind my head? It can be done either way, but when the first way is obviously so easy where is the question of choosing? *Sannyāsa* seems to be easier - just give up all the *karmas* and pursue knowledge. Why do both? A *karma-yogi*, living in an agricultural society, for example, has to milk the cow, graze it, wash it, and take care of the children, among other things. One child is crying, the other is on his lap, and the third one is tugging at him from behind. The mosquitos are biting and his wife is shouting. In between, he has to perform the fire ritual, called *agnihotra-karma*, with all its problems. The firewood is wet, everything is smoking, and his eyes become filled with all kinds of tears - tears born out of the smoke, tears torn out of all the nagging children, tears born out of helplessness, and so on. When will such a person have time to pursue knowledge? Whenever he picks up the *Gītā* book, all that comes is sleep!

Is it therefore not better to go for sannyāsa? Arjuna definitely thought so. However,

sannyāsa is not as easy as it appears. It looks as though you need only sit and study. But try it and you will find that it does not always work that way. Instead, you may vegetate all day because you a not able to study so intensely. This, then is not the way. Thus Kṛṣṇa continued to tell Arjuna exactly what sannyāsa and karma yoga are. Even though a choice is there, karma yoga will pave the way for sannyāsa. It will even pave the way for the desire for knowledge and, therefore, for vivdiśā-sannyāsa. Kṛṣṇa told Arjuna that sannyāsa is not at all easy inspite of how pleasant and simple it appears to be. After all, sannyāsa is giving up everything.

Arjuna thought that giving up everything would not present any great problem, especially since he had lived in the forest for twelve years. But, during those twelve years, he had been thinking about *Duryodhana* and the kingdom, and the injustice of it all. Twelve years of meditation upon *Duryodhana* did not make *Arjuna* a *sannyāsī*. *Arjuna* had been nursing a big hurt for a long time. Therefore, *sannyāsa* was not going to come so easily to him. One does not become a *sannyāsī* by decision alone - all of which *Kṛṣṇa* would tell him later in the *Gītā*.

To be continued...

When the knowledge that your nature is wholeness is gained, what happens? Once you are the whole, you are the whole, whether you see the creation or do not see the creation, whether you do something in the world or do not do anything. The whole does not acquire a dent by your doing something, or by your not doing something. This knowledge is called liberation, freedom – the freedom everyone loves, wants and struggles for.

- Swami Dayananda Saraswati